



Child, Maternal and Demographic Factors Influencing Caregiver-Reported Autistic Trait Symptomatology in Toddlers

D. A. Goh¹ · D. Gan¹ · J. Kung¹ · S. Baron-Cohen⁸ · C. Allison⁸ · H. Chen^{3,9} · S. M. Saw^{6,9,10} · Y. S. Chong^{2,7} · V. S. Rajadurai^{3,9} · K. H. Tan^{3,9} · P. C. L. Shek^{2,11} · F. Yap^{3,9,12} · B. F. P. Broekman^{2,4,5} · I. Magiati¹ 

© Springer Science+Business Media, LLC, part of Springer Nature 2018

Abstract

Current research on children's autistic traits in the general population relies predominantly on caregiver-report, yet the extent to which individual, caregiver or demographic characteristics are associated with informants' ratings has not been sufficiently explored. In this study, caregivers of 396 Singaporean two-year-olds from a birth cohort study completed the Quantitative Checklist for Autism in Toddlers. Children's gender, cognitive functioning and birth order, maternal age, and ethnic group membership were not significant predictors of caregiver-reported autistic traits. Poorer child language development and higher maternal depressive symptoms significantly predicted more social-communicative autistic traits, while lower maternal education predicted more behavioural autistic traits. Children's language and informants' educational level and depressive symptomatology may need to be considered in caregiver-reports of autistic traits.

Keywords Autistic traits · Measurement · Informant · Child · Demographic · Predictors.

Introduction

Autism spectrum disorder (ASD) is a heterogeneous lifelong neurodevelopmental condition¹ characterized by varying degrees of social, communication and behavioral impairments (American Psychiatric Association 2013). There is increasing evidence that subclinical autistic traits (Baron-Cohen et al. 2001), defined as “habitual patterns of behaviour, thought, and emotion which are stable over time and exist in all individuals to a varying degree” (Bolte et al. 2011), are heritable, quantifiable, normally distributed in the general population and elevated in relatives of individuals with ASD (Bishop et al. 2006; Constantino and Todd 2005; Maxwell et al. 2013; Posserud et al. 2006; Taylor et al. 2013; Virkud et al. 2009; Wheelwright et al. 2010), with individuals with ASD more likely to lie at the higher end of this continuum (Ruzich et al. 2015; Baron-Cohen et al. 2001; Wheelwright et al. 2006; Allison et al. 2008; Constantino and Todd 2003; Hoekstra et al. 2007; Skuse et al. 2005; Hurst et al. 2007; Wakabayashi et al. 2006).

A range of measures has been developed to measure and quantify autistic traits and related symptoms dimensionally across the general and clinical populations (Sucksmith et al. 2011)². When used in children, most rely on caregiver reports, as this is a cost-effective way to gather information, and parents are generally reliable informants of their children's behaviors (Charman et al. 2004; Lee et al. 2010; Sikora et al. 2008). However, caregiver reports of autistic traits may also be influenced by other child, caregiver or environment differences (Norris and Lecavalier 2010). The clinical utility of such “rapid phenotyping” approaches (Ryland et al. 2014) was explored by Warren et al. (2012).

¹ A number of researchers, clinicians and advocates prefer the use of the term Condition (autism spectrum condition; ASC) instead of disorder (ASD), as it is a less stigmatizing term. We advocate for the use of this term too, but have used the term ASD in this paper to be consistent with most existing literature and the DSM-5.

² These include the Quantitative Checklist for Autism in Toddlers (Q-CHAT; Allison et al. 2008); the Autism Spectrum Quotient—Child (AQ-Child; Auyeung et al. 2008), Adolescent (AQ-Adol; Baron-Cohen et al. 2006) and Adult Versions (AQ; Baron-Cohen et al. 2001); the Social and Communication Disorders Checklist (SCDC; Skuse et al. 2005), the Social Communication Questionnaire (SCQ; Berument et al. 1999), the Social Responsiveness Scale-2 (SRS-2; Constantino 2012) and the Childhood Autism Spectrum Test (CAST; Scott et al. 2002).

✉ I. Magiati
psym@nus.edu.sg

Extended author information available on the last page of the article

Parents of 333 children aged 4 to 17 years with and without a clinical diagnosis of ASD rated their children's social and non-social behaviours on the SCQ—Lifetime (Rutter et al. 2003) and the SRS. Ten to twenty percent of children without a clinical diagnosis of ASD were identified as having ASD (false positives), while 5–25% of children with ASD were false negatives. The authors suggested that this discrepancy between parent-report and clinical diagnosis was likely due to parent reporting being influenced by other parent and children characteristics and that the use of expert clinical validation should prevail alongside rapid phenotyping procedures (Warren et al. 2012). It is therefore important to explore more systematically the extent to which child, caregiver or social/demographic characteristics influence caregivers' reporting of children's autistic traits.

Child Characteristics Potentially Influencing Caregiver-Reported Autistic Traits

Gender

Three UK studies in unselected as well as clinical samples (Allison et al. 2008; Auyeung et al. 2010; Wong et al. 2014) all found that boys were reported by their caregivers to have significantly more autistic traits than girls, with small effect size differences. In a study of 1913 unaffected siblings of children with ASD, males had higher parent-reported SRS raw scores than females with a small effect size difference (Hus et al. 2013). Gender remained a significant predictor of maternally-reported AQ-Child scores, accounting for 23% of the variance after controlling for other covariates (Auyeung et al. 2009).

Cognitive Functioning

In a study by Hoekstra et al. (2009), children who performed in the bottom 5% of IQ scores at age 7 were significantly more likely to be reported by their caregivers to present with autistic traits in the top 5% of CAST scores at 8 years, while the negative correlation between IQ at 7, 9 and 12 years and CAST scores at 8, 9, 12 years was modest (Hoekstra et al. 2010).

Verbal/Language Functioning

After controlling for gender and cognitive functioning, language scores at 2 and 3 years remained significant predictors of overall and social autistic traits at 8 years using the caregiver reported CAST (Dworzynski et al. 2007). In 1913 unaffected school-aged siblings of probands with ASD, Hus et al. (2013) also reported that greater language impairments were a significant predictor of more caregiver reported social-communicative autistic traits on the SRS.

Birth Order

To our knowledge, no study has to date systematically examined whether caregiver-reported autistic traits may differ by children's birth order. In children with ASD, Gardener et al. (2009) conducted a meta-analysis of nine epidemiological studies, most of which indicated that a diagnosis of ASD was more likely in either firstborn or later-born children (birth order ≥ 3), with risk of ASD 61% greater for firstborn than for later-born children (Gardener et al. 2009). More recent studies have also found ASD to be more likely diagnosed in firstborn compared to children of later birth order (Bilder et al. 2009; Durkin et al. 2008; King et al. 2009; Leonard et al. 2011; Sasanfar et al. 2010). However, parents tend to have fewer children when their firstborn is diagnosed with ASD, resulting in an overrepresentation of either firstborn children with ASD in families of two children, or later-born children with ASD who were among the youngest of larger families (Gardener et al. 2009).

Caregivers' Characteristics Potentially Influencing Report of Autistic Traits

Maternal Age at Childbirth

In a large study of Australian toddlers with ASD (Ronald et al. 2011), and in another study of maternal CAST ratings of toddlers without ASD (Auyeung et al. 2009), maternal age was not a significant predictor of caregiver-reported autistic-related behaviours. In a longitudinal study by Robinson et al. (2011b), no significant association was found between maternal age and caregiver reported social-communicative autistic traits on the SCDC across the whole sample of 5246 7-year-old children from the general population, controlling for paternal age, socioeconomic status, gestational length, pregnancy complications and maternal psychiatric history. While higher maternal age may be associated with higher risk for a diagnosis of ASD (Durkin et al. 2008; Grether et al. 2009; King et al. 2009), it was only marginally associated with extreme autistic traits in an undiagnosed sample (Russell et al. 2010).

Caregivers' Mental Health

Caregivers of children with ASD are more likely to experience higher depressive symptoms (Bennett et al. 2012; Daniels et al. 2008; Estes et al. 2009), and increased depressive symptoms may influence parental identification, report and interpretation of child behaviors as "problematic" (Bennett et al. 2012; Ordway 2011). Gartstein and Fagot (2003) found that maternally reported depressive symptoms explained the greatest variance in mothers' ratings of their 5-year old

children's externalizing behaviours, more than the children's gender or the family's socioeconomic index.

In clinical samples, maternal reports of autistic symptoms of Canadian preschoolers with ASD using the SRS were significantly higher for mothers who reported depressive symptoms above the 90th percentile in a depressive symptom checklist compared to mothers whose depressive symptoms fell below the 90th percentile (Bennett et al. 2012; see also related findings by; Hastings et al. 2005; Ronald et al. 2011).

Demographic Characteristics Potentially Influencing Caregiver-Report of Autistic Traits

Educational Level

In an unselected sample of 142 three to four-year-olds from the UK, Russell et al. (2010) found that lower maternal educational level was associated with more parent-reported autistic traits.

Culture/Ethnic Group Membership

Cultural differences in the processing of social situations, in the expression and interpretation of emotions and social behaviors and in parental expectations (Freeth et al. 2013; Norbury and Sparks 2013) may influence what parents deem normative, as well as the extent to which they rate certain child behaviors as more or less frequent, severe, or inappropriate (Williams and Holmes 2004). For example, Matson et al. (2011) found differences in 145 parents from the US, UK, South Korea and Israel in caregiver reports of communication, social interaction and behaviors in youth who met research criteria for ASD. Although autistic traits and behaviours relating to ASD in one culture may not be recognized as atypical in another (Matson et al. 2011), this study was limited by the small sample size and limited control of other possible individual differences between the samples.

The Present Study: Rationale, Aims and Research Questions

As ratings on informant-based measures can be influenced by individual and demographic characteristics (Warren et al. 2012), the present study aimed to explore the relationship between caregiver-reported autistic traits and a range of individual child, maternal and socio-cultural variables in a multi-ethnic Asian preschool sample from a birth cohort study.

Furthermore, most studies have examined the relationships between child or caregiver variables and overall/total autistic trait scores, despite increasing evidence that autistic traits are organized in clusters of symptoms in unselected samples (Robinson et al. 2011a, b). Most factor analytic studies have found that autistic traits compose of more than a single underlying construct (Shuster et al. 2014), while population based twin studies of autistic traits measured dimensionally as well as at the quantitative 5% extremes show that the different clusters of autistic symptoms are all heritable, but likely caused by different genetic influences (Ronald and Hoekstra 2014), suggesting that it would be important to consider different clusters of autism-related symptoms separately. In a factor analytic study of the Quantitative-Checklist of Autism in Toddlers (Q-CHAT) by Magiati et al. (2015), a three-factor solution was found. Thus, the relationship of child, maternal and demographic variables was explored separately for social/communication and non-social/behavioral autistic traits in the present study.

The following research questions were examined:

1. Are, and to what extent, child characteristics (gender, birth order, cognitive and verbal functioning), informant characteristics (maternal age and level of depressive symptomatology), or demographic characteristics (education level and ethnic group membership) associated with caregiver-reported Q-CHAT scores in a non-clinical sample of toddlers?
2. To what extent do these characteristics, when considered together, predict caregiver reported Q-CHAT total and social/behavioral cluster scores?

Methods

Participants

Recruitment

Participants were selected from a nationally representative sample of mothers and children recruited into an ongoing longitudinal birth cohort study in Singapore (GUSTO—Growing Up in Singapore Towards Healthy Outcomes; see Soh et al. 2013) from the two largest public maternity units in the country. Pregnant mothers were recruited at their first trimester antenatal ultrasound scan and mother–child pairs have been followed up at regular intervals from 12 weeks of gestation onwards. Currently, the children are 5–6 years of age, with follow-up assessments planned to extend to later childhood.

Figure 1 summarizes the flow chart of recruitment of participants in the present study. A subsample of 649 children was selected for neurodevelopmental phenotyping (the




Fig. 1 Flowchart of recruitment and participation

“GUSTO Neurodevelopment cohort”³) and their cognitive, language, motor, emotional and behavioural development was regularly assessed.

Inclusion/Exclusion Criteria

Participants were recruited into the GUSTO study if they were Singapore Citizens or Permanent Residents; intended to deliver in either one of the two participating hospitals; intended to live in Singapore for the next 5 years; and both parents were of the same racial/ethnic background⁴.

As part of their GUSTO study participation, caregivers completed the Q-CHAT when the children were 18 and 24 months old. Response rates were 57% ($n = 368$) and 61% ($n = 396$) at 18 and 24 months respectively. 294 participants (45%) had data at both time points. Respondents were predominantly mothers ($n = 359$, 90.7%). As data on maternal depressive symptoms and children’s IQ were collected at

24 months, the analyses in this study used Q-CHAT data at 24 months.

Participants’ Characteristics

This study’s participants (see Table 1) were compared to the full active GUSTO sample on various demographic variables. They did not differ significantly from the full active GUSTO sample on maternal age, maternal education or household monthly income (all effect sizes small). However, there were more Malay and Chinese, and fewer Indians in the 24-month Q-CHAT compared to the full GUSTO sample ($\chi^2(2) = 7.35$, $p = .03$), but the effect size was small ($w = 0.14$)⁵. Thus, the Q-CHAT sample was broadly representative of the full GUSTO sample.

Measures

Autistic Traits

The Q-CHAT (Allison et al. 2008) is a 25-item caregiver-report screening tool for autistic traits in toddlers aged 18–24 months. The presence of autistic-traits or the relative absence of normative early social-communication behaviours are rated on a dimensional 0–4 point Likert scale (with higher ratings indicating more autistic traits). Thirteen items are reverse scored (total score range 0–100)⁶.

In an unselected sample of 754 toddlers from the UK, Q-CHAT scores were normally distributed, had excellent test–retest reliability after 1 month ($r = .82$) and internal consistency was $\alpha = 0.67$ for the total score, while there was also preliminary evidence for good discriminant validity (Allison et al. 2008).

An exploratory factor analysis of the Q-CHAT at 18 months in the present study’s sample of toddlers demonstrated the loading of 22 out of 25 items onto three factors explaining 38.1% of the total variance: (i) social-communicative autistic traits (10 items; $\alpha = 0.69$ at 24 months), (ii) behavioural autistic traits (8 items; $\alpha = 0.71$); and (iii) speech/language development (4 items; $\alpha = 0.63$; Magiati et al. 2015). Correlations between the three factor scores were small ($r = -.14$ to 0.19), indicating these are largely

³ Inclusion into the GUSTO Neurodevelopment cohort was prioritized for families who were willing to participate in regular assessments during centre and home visits.

⁴ Pregnancies that ended in miscarriage, mothers with Type I diabetes mellitus or those receiving chemotherapy or on psychotropic medication were not included.

⁵ The population of Singapore consists of 74.3% ethnically Chinese, 13.4% Malay and 9.1% Indians (Department of Statistics Singapore 2016), and as such Malay and Indian participants were over-sampled in the GUSTO study.

⁶ Example items include: “How easy is it for you to get eye contact with your child?”; “If you or someone else in the family is visibly upset, does your child show signs of wanting to comfort them (e.g. stroking their hair, hugging them)?”; and “Does your child do the same thing over and over again (e.g. running the tap, turning the light switch on and off, opening and closing doors)?”.

Table 1 Child, maternal and demographic variables at 24 months (N = 396, unless otherwise indicated)

	N (%), or mean (SD) [range]
Child variables	
Gender	
Males	205 (51.8%)
Females	191 (48.2%)
Bayley composite IQ score (n = 368)	102.58 (12.67) [55–145]
Bayley composite language score (n = 365)	95.44 (14.08) [50–138]
Birth order	
Firstborn	179 (45.2%)
Second born	121 (30.6%)
Third and higher	96 (24.2%)
Maternal and demographic variables	
Age at childbirth	30.5 (5.2) [19–46]
Highest education	
None/primary	16 (4.1%)
Secondary/tech. ed	139 (35.2%)
'A' levels/polytechnic/others	96 (24.3%)
University	144 (36.5%)
BDI depressive symptoms raw score	7.39 (7.28) [0–34]
Ethnicity	
Chinese	232 (58.6%)
Malay	113 (28.5%)
Indian	51 (12.9%)
Q-CHAT at 24 months	
Total score	33.20 (7.70) [9–59] $\alpha=0.60$
Social-communicative traits factor score	10.62 (4.51) [2–33] $\alpha=0.75$
Behavioural traits factor score	10.80 (5.14) [0–26] $\alpha=0.71$
Speech/language factor score	7.28 (1.73) [1–12] $\alpha=0.63$

α = Cronbach's alpha

independent of one another. Because the third language/speech factor comprised only four items, and was more broadly developmental in focus, rather than autistic-trait specific, analyses focused on first two factors.

Child Characteristics

We examined (i) gender; (ii) Full IQ score; (iii) Verbal IQ, and (iv) birth order (firstborn, second-born, third- to fifth-born). Full IQ and Verbal IQ respectively were measured at 24 months using Standard Scores (normative mean = 100; SD = 15) from the Cognitive Scale and the Language Scale respectively of the *Bayley Scales of Infant Development Third Edition* (Bayley-III; Bayley 2006), a widely used standardized measure of developmental functioning for infants and young children aged 1–42 months.

Maternal Variables

Maternal variables analyzed were:

- (i) maternal age at childbirth (in years);
- (ii) maternal education level;
- (iii) ethnicity (Malays versus Chinese; Indians versus Chinese⁷); and
- (iv) self-reported maternal depressive symptoms at 24 months, as measured by the total raw score of the *Beck Depression Inventory* (BDI-II; Beck et al. 1996; score range 0–63; higher scores = more symptoms).

Procedure

Data were collected by a team of trained research assistants, supervised by GUSTO's principal investigators. Main maternal and family demographic variables were collected at the first trimester antenatal scan. Maternal age at childbirth and the child's gender were gathered at childbirth. Q-CHAT data were collected at 18 and 24 months, while the Bayley Scales and the maternal BDI were collected at 24 months. The Bayley Scales were administered at the toddlers' own homes by trained research coordinators.

Ethical Considerations

Ethical approval for the GUSTO study, within which this study was embedded, was provided by the SingHealth Centralized Institutional Review Board (CIRB) and the National Healthcare Group Domain Specific Review Board (DSRB), and was approved by the National University of Singapore IRB. Participant eligibility information and consent were obtained at the 1st trimester of pregnancy. There was no obligation to take part in the study and participants could withdraw at any point without their standard medical care being affected in any way. Each family was reimbursed with SG100 vouchers at each center or home visit and SG 20 vouchers for completion of parent-completed questionnaires.

Missing Data and Statistical Analyses

Following the recommendations of Allison et al. (2008), incomplete or ambiguously answered Q-CHAT items were conservatively scored '0' and questionnaires with seven or more missing items were excluded (N = 3 at 24 months). Preliminary analyses involved examining the inter-correlations

⁷ Singapore population comprises approximately 74.3% Chinese, 13.4% Malay and 9.1% Indian, according to the Department of Statistics Singapore (2016); thus, the reference group is Chinese.

Table 2 Associations between child, maternal and sociocultural variables examined in the present study

	Child's gender	Child's cognitive development	Child's language development	Child's birth order	Maternal age at childbirth	Maternal education	Maternal depressive symptoms
Child's gender							
Child's full IQ (Bayley)	$r_{pb} = 0.09$						
Child's language composite score (Bayley language)	$r_{pb} = 0.17^{**}$	$r = .66^{***}$					
Child's birth order	$\chi^2(2) = 2.58$	$r_s = -0.09$	$r_s = -0.12^*$				
Maternal age at childbirth	$r_{pb} = 0.03$	$r = .06$	$r = .06$	$r_s = 0.01$			
Maternal education	$\chi^2(3) = 1.42$	$r_s = 0.19^{***}$	$r_s = 0.30^{***}$	$\tau = -0.22^{***}$	$r_s = 0.12^*$		
Maternal depressive symptoms	$r_{pb} = 0.01$	$r = -.00$	$r = -.05$	$r_s = -0.04$	$r = .02$	$r_s = -0.11^*$	
Ethnicity	$\chi^2(2) = 0.55$	$t(2) = 0.04$	$t(2) = 0.55$	$\chi^2(4) = 7.53$	$t(2) = 19.10^{***}$	$\chi^2(6) = 24.22^{***}$	$t(2) = 0.11$

Pearson's correlation coefficient (r), point biserial correlation coefficient (r_{pb}), Spearman's correlation coefficient (r_s), Kendall's tau (τ), Chi square test of association (χ^2 (degrees of freedom)), one way ANOVA (t (degrees of freedom))

* $p < .05$, ** $p < .01$, *** $p < .001$

between child and maternal/SES variables of interest to this study. For the main analyses, multiple regressions were performed with all maternal, child and demographic variables examined to elucidate their combined and independent role in predicting the variance in caregiver-reported Q-CHAT scores at 24 months.

Results

Table 1 presents descriptive statistics for all key variables.

Preliminary Analyses

Inter-correlations between child and caregiver/demographic variables (see Table 2).

Preliminary inter-correlation analyses (see Table 2) indicated that while the children's overall cognitive functioning was not significantly associated with their gender, better language development was associated with being female and with higher maternal education. Mothers who had attained higher educational levels tended to be older at childbirth, and to have children of lower birth order enrolled in the study. Significant ethnic differences were also identified in terms of maternal education level and age at childbirth, although most of these relationships were of small or medium effect sizes. These findings were taken into account in the main analyses and interpretation of findings.

Main Analyses

Child Characteristics and Their Relationship with Caregiver-Reported Autistic Traits

More Q-CHAT social-communication autistic traits were reported for boys than girls with a small effect size (Table 3). Lower Bayley cognitive and composite language standard scores were significantly associated with more social/communication as well as behavioral Q-CHAT autistic traits, with small to medium effect sizes, while birth order was not significantly associated with any of the Q-CHAT factor scores (Table 3).

Maternal Characteristics and Their Relationship with Caregiver-Reported Autistic Traits

Higher educated mothers reported significantly fewer Q-CHAT behavioural autistic traits (Table 3). Higher BDI maternal depression scores were also correlated with more Q-CHAT social-communicative autistic traits, with small effect sizes. There was no significant association between maternal age at childbirth or ethnicity and any of the Q-CHAT factor scores (see Tables 3, 4).

Child, Maternal and Demographic Characteristics Predicting Autistic Traits

Multiple regression analyses were then carried out to determine whether child and maternal factors examined predicted

Table 3 Unadjusted correlations between child, maternal, sociocultural variables and autistic traits at 24 months

	Q-CHAT social-communicative traits	Q-CHAT behavioural traits
Child variables		
Gender ^b	-0.16**	-0.00
Bayley composite cognitive score ^a	-0.17***	-0.14**
Bayley language composite score ^a	-0.27***	-0.17***
Birth order ^c	-0.07	-0.01
Maternal variables		
Age at childbirth ^a	-0.01	-0.04
Educational level ^c	-0.04	-0.22***
BDI Depressive symptoms ^a	0.19***	0.08

* $p < .05$, ** $p < .01$, *** $p < .001$

^aPearson's r

^bPoint biserial

^cSpearman's rho

variance in Q-CHAT social-communicative and behavioural autistic traits. The regression models were both statistically significant, explaining 13% of the variance in social-communicative and 6% of the variance in behavioural autistic trait scores respectively (see Table 5).

Predictors of Caregiver-Reported Social-Communicative Autistic Traits

When all child, maternal and demographic predictors were entered together, higher Bayley language composite scores predicted fewer (i.e. less severe) social-communication autistic traits. Increased maternal depressive symptoms were also a significant predictor of higher (i.e. more severe) Q-CHAT social-communicative autistic traits. Lower birth order (i.e. being firstborn rather than later-born) and male gender approached, but did not reach, statistical significance in predicting more Q-CHAT social-communicative autistic traits (see Table 5).

Table 4 Q-CHAT total and factor scores for the whole sample and by ethnic group membership

	All participants (n = 396)	Chinese (n = 232)	Malay (n = 113)	Indian (n = 51)	Statistics for ethnic group differences; F (p) η^2
Q-CHAT social-communicative traits	10.62 (4.51)	10.97 (4.73)	10.35 (4.25)	9.65 (3.92)	2.09 (0.13) 0.01
Q-CHAT behavioural traits	10.80 (5.14)	10.51 (5.1)	11.07 (5.39)	11.51 (4.71)	1.01 (0.37) 0.01
Q-CHAT total score	33.20 (7.70)	33.17 (8.1)	33.43 (7.34)	33.43 (7.34)	0.13 (0.88) 0.00

All scores: M (SD)

Table 5 Regression models for Q-CHAT factor scores

	Q-CHAT social-communicative traits				Q-CHAT behavioural traits			
	β	p	R ²	ΔF	β	p	R ²	ΔF
Child variables			0.13	5.71***			0.06	2.52**
Gender	-0.09	0.08			0.03	0.61		
Bayley cognitive composite score	0.00	0.97			-0.04	0.59		
Bayley language composite score	-0.25	0.00			-0.10	0.18		
Birth order	-0.09	0.11			-0.05	0.41		
Maternal variables								
Age at childbirth	-0.03	0.57			-0.01	0.94		
Highest education	-0.01	0.91			-0.16	0.01		
BDI depressive symptom score	0.18	0.00			0.08	0.14		
Ethnicity								
Chinese versus Malays	0.09	0.14			-0.00	0.98		
Indians versus Malays	-0.04	0.50			0.04	0.49		

Malays were used as the reference group for ethnicity

* $p < .05$, ** $p < .01$, *** $p < .001$; in bold significant independent predictors; in italics, predictors approaching statistical significance

Predictors of Caregiver-Reported Behavioural Autistic Traits

When all maternal, child and demographic factors were considered together, only higher maternal education emerged as a significant predictor of fewer Q-CHAT behavioural autistic traits (Table 5).

Discussion

Summary of Main Findings

We examined whether a number of child, maternal or demographic variables were associated with, or predicted, caregiver reports of children's autistic traits in a large unselected sample of 24-month-old Singaporean toddlers. The regression models explained statistically small (6–13%), but significant, amounts of variance in Q-CHAT social/communication and behavioral autistic traits. Children's gender, cognitive standard scores and birth order, maternal age at childbirth, and ethnic group membership were not significant predictors of autistic traits. Only poorer child language development and higher maternal self-reported depressive symptoms significantly predicted more caregiver-reported social-communicative autistic traits, while only lower maternal education predicted more parent-reported behavioural/non-social autistic traits.

Poorer Child Language Development as a Predictor of More Social-Communicative Autistic Traits

When both gender and language development were considered together, language development retained its predictive value, whereas gender only approached statistical significance. These results are consistent with findings by Hus et al. (2013) who identified greater language impairment as a predictor of more caregiver reported autistic traits on the SRS. In their study of children with ASD and their unaffected siblings, gender continued to be a significant predictor, although the authors acknowledged that the measure they employed to assess language development (the caregiver-reported Vineland Expressive Communication V-score) had restricted range of scores in their sample, and was also a caregiver-reported measure. In our study, we used the clinician-administered Bayley language composite scores, although it should be noted that this has not been normed with Singaporean toddlers. It is also possible that the absence of gender as a predictor of social-communicative traits in the present study may reflect multi-collinearity effects resulting from the significant association of better language acquisition and being female (Wallentin 2009).

Higher Maternal Depressive Symptoms as a Predictor of More Caregiver-Reported Social-Communicative Autistic Traits in Children

In line with our findings, existing literature has consistently identified maternal self-reported depressive symptoms as predictors of caregiver-reported child behaviours associated with developmental concerns in unselected samples (Ronald et al. 2011). Bennett et al. (2012) compared maternal-rated children's SRS scores to a gold-standard clinician-rated diagnostic interview for which the information was provided by caregivers, as well as to independently clinician-administered and rated semi-structured observational diagnostic assessment scores. They found that children of self-rated 'depressed' as compared to 'non-depressed' mothers did not differ significantly in ASD severity scores on clinician-rated assessments. In other words, elevated scores were only evident when mothers with more depressive symptoms were involved directly in rating or reporting their children's autistic presentations themselves. At the same time, and as this is a cross-sectional study, it is also possible that more autistic traits in children may make parenting more demanding or less rewarding, thereby contributing to higher caregiver-reported depressive symptoms, or that the relationship is to some extent bi-directional. It should also be noted, that despite the significant findings, maternal depressive symptoms only explained small amounts of variance in this domain, suggesting that although statistically significant, such influences are likely small.

Higher Maternal Education as a Predictor of Fewer Caregiver-Reported Behavioural Autistic Traits in Children

Higher maternal education emerged as the only variable predicting fewer caregiver-reported behavioural autistic traits in this birth cohort sample when all child, maternal and demographic factors were considered together. Hus et al. (2013) also found that higher maternal education was associated with fewer caregiver reported autistic traits as measured by the total SRS raw score, but they did not further examine this relationship separately for specific SRS symptom clusters/factors.

Mothers with higher education may report fewer behavioural autistic traits in their children for a number of reasons. They may be more accurate in rating different observable child behaviors described in the Q-CHAT. Another possibility is that some of the Q-CHAT behavioral items (such as whether the child can adapt to changes in routine, or whether the child does the same thing over and over again) may present less in children of more educated mothers because of the ways in which higher maternal education may impact parenting or the home environment (for example, more

highly educated mothers may create more structured home environments and routines). Such potential influences of demographic variables on parenting resources, access to parenting education and information or the environments within which the children develop may affect the expression and/or report of behavioral components of autistic traits more than social or communication ones.

In addition, the fact that child language and cognitive composite scores did not predict behavioural autistic traits could be due to the moderating effect of maternal education, which was significantly and positively associated with both language and cognitive development in this study (see also Grantham-McGregor et al. 2007; Hoff 2003).

Ethnic Differences in Caregiver Reports of Social-Communicative Autistic Traits?

In our study, no statistically significant differences were found in total or factor Q-CHAT scores between the study's three main ethnic groups. Although ethnic differences have been found and reported in some other studies of mainly adult participants from the general population who completed self-report measures of autistic traits (Freeth et al. 2013), these have been found predominantly when participants from Western and Eastern cultures have been compared, whereas all participants in our study were of different Asian ethnic groups.

Summary, Limitations, and Implications/ Recommendations for "Rapid Phenotyping" Research on Autistic Traits and Related Symptomatology

This study provides further evidence that child, caregiver, and demographic factors likely influence caregiver reporting of autistic traits on the Q-CHAT in unselected samples. However, only small amounts of variance (i.e. 6–13%) were explained and effect sizes were all small, suggesting that, on the whole, caregivers (mostly mothers in the present study) appear to be rating autistic traits largely independently of other child characteristics (such as their IQ, language, gender, or birth order) and of their own experiences (such as their ethnicity, educational opportunities or current mental health).

Future studies employing caregiver reporting of autistic traits are encouraged to control for caregiver depressive symptoms and informants' education level and to consider the children's language abilities, the three variables found to predict caregiver reported autistic traits in the present study.

Furthermore, different variables were found to predict social-communicative and non-social/behavioral autistic traits respectively, lending further support to the importance of examining the different domains of autistic traits

independently (Shuster et al. 2014; Mandy and Skuse 2008). Finally, the current study extends earlier findings of child, caregiver, and demographic variables influencing caregiver reports of autistic traits or symptoms from Western-based samples to an unselected Asian community sample providing stronger cross-cultural support with regards to the role of different variables influencing caregiver report of autistic traits.

Rapid phenotyping approaches for measuring autistic traits including informant- and self-report have been widely used in existing research because they offer reasonable levels of accuracy and reliability, while being more time-efficient and cost-effective than direct assessment methods. Our results provide preliminary support for the use of caregiver reports to quantify autistic traits in children in unselected samples, but suggest that future studies aiming to use rapid phenotyping should aim to collect and control for key indicators of child and informant functioning (e.g., child language, respondents' educational level and depressive symptoms). In order to further validate the use of rapid phenotyping, future studies should compare caregiver reports of autistic traits with direct, observational, behavioural, or experimental data on child behavior, functioning or performance in tasks conceptually and empirically relevant to autistic traits, so that we can more clearly disentangle whether such factors influence the actual prevalence, or the reporting, of autistic traits and related symptoms. Future work should also explore whether these characteristics also influence caregiver reporting of autistic symptoms in toddlers with existing diagnoses of ASD, or in toddlers who will subsequently be diagnosed with ASD, as it is possible that existing findings are only generalizable to reporting of autistic traits in unselected samples. Finally, future studies can explore the potential influences of other variables not examined in the present study, such as children's behavioral problems, autistic traits in parents, or parenting stress, which may also affect the reliability of rapid phenotyping measurement of autistic traits.

Acknowledgments We would also like to acknowledge all members of the GUSTO study group for their contributions. The GUSTO study group includes Pratibha Agarwal, Arijit Biswas, Choon Looi Bong, Birit FP Broekman, Shirong Cai, Jerry Kok Yen Chan, Yiong Huak Chan, Cornelia Yin Ing Chee, Helen Y. H Chen, Yin Bun Cheung, Audrey Chia, Amutha Chinnadurai, Chai Kiat Chng, Mary Foong-Fong Chong, Yap-Seng Chong, Shang Chee Chong, Mei Chien Chua, Chun Ming Ding, Eric Andrew Finkelstein, Doris Fok, Marielle Fortier, Peter D. Gluckman, Keith M. Godfrey, Anne Eng Neo Goh, Yam Thiam Daniel Goh, Joshua J. Gooley, Wee Meng Han, Mark Hanson, Christiani Jayakumar Henry, Joanna D. Holbrook, Chin-Ying Hsu, Hazel Inskip, Jeevesh Kapur, Kenneth Kwek, Ivy Yee-Man Lau, Bee Wah Lee, Yung Seng Lee, Ngee Lek, Sok Bee Lim, Yen-Ling Low, Iliana Magiati, Lourdes Mary Daniel, Michael Meaney, Cheryl Ngo, Krishnamoorthy Naiduvaaje, Wei Wei Pang, Anqi Qiu, Boon Long Quah, Victor Samuel Rajadurai, Mary Rauff, Salome A. Rebello, Jenny L. Richmond, Anne Rifkin-Graboi, Seang-Mei Saw, Lynette Pei-Chi Shek, Allan Sheppard, Borys Shuter, Leher Singh, Shu-E Soh, Walter

Stunkel, Lin Lin Su, Kok Hian Tan, Oon Hoe Teoh, Mya Thway Tint, Hugo P S van Bever, Rob M. van Dam, Inez Bik Yun Wong, P. C. Wong, Fabian Yap, George Seow Heong Yeo.

Author Contributions DAG led the analyses and writing up of this manuscript, with contributions from DG and JK; IM conceptualized the study and oversaw all aspects of the research process from data collection and cleaning to analysis and writing up; SB and CA provided expert consultation on aspects relating to the use of the measure examined in the present study which they developed and provided specialist input in the analyses and writing up of the project. All other co-authors contributed to overseeing various aspects of the data collection of the different measures employed in this study as part of their involvement in the larger GUSTO study, and in providing input and feedback in the analyses and writing up of the present study. All authors contributed to the writing up and editing of the manuscript and all approved its final version for submission to the journal for publication.

Funding This research is supported by the Singapore National Research Foundation under its Translational and Clinical Research (TCR) Flagship Programme and administered by the Singapore Ministry of Health's National Medical Research Council (NMRC), Singapore—NMRC/TCR/004-NUS/2008 and NMRC/TCR/012-NUHS/2014. Additional funding was provided by the Ministry of Education AcRF Tier 1 funding grant R581000130112 awarded to the corresponding/senior author and Singapore Institute for Clinical Sciences—A*STAR. We are grateful to all research and admin staff of the GUSTO study for their work, support and contribution towards data collection and to all caregivers and children involved in this longitudinal study.

Compliance with Ethical Standards

Conflict of interest The fourth and fifth authors are the developers of the measure investigated in the present study (the Q-CHAT), however the measure is freely available and non-copyrighted and therefore the authors have no financial interests stemming from this research study. The other authors report no conflicts of interest.

Ethical standards All procedures performed in this study which involved human participants were in accordance with the ethical standards of the institutional research board. Ethical approval for the larger study, within which this study was embedded, was provided by the Singhealth Centralized Institutional Review Board (CIRB) and the National Healthcare Group Domain Specific Review Board (DSRB), and was approved by the National University of Singapore. Informed consent were obtained from all participants at recruitment. There was no obligation to take part in the study and participants could withdraw at any point without their standard medical care being affected in any way.

References

- Allison, C., Baron-Cohen, S., Wheelwright, S., Charman, T., Richler, J., PASDo, G., & Brayne, C. (2008). The Q-CHAT (Quantitative Checklist for Autism in Toddlers): A normally distributed quantitative measure of autistic traits at 18–24 months of age: Preliminary report. *Journal of Autism and Developmental Disorders*, 38(8), 1414–1425. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10803-007-0509-7>.
- American Psychiatric Association (2013). *Diagnostic and statistical manual of mental disorders (DSM)*. 5th edn. Arlington: American Psychiatric Publishing.
- Auyeung, B., Baron-Cohen, S., Ashwin, E., Knickmeyer, R., Taylor, K., & Hackett, G. (2009). Fetal testosterone and autistic traits. *British Journal of Psychology*, 100(Pt 1), 1–22. <https://doi.org/10.1348/000712608x311731>.
- Auyeung, B., Baron-Cohen, S., Wheelwright, S., & Allison, C. (2008). The autism spectrum quotient: Children's version (AQ-Child). *Journal of Autism and Developmental Disorders*, 38(7), 1230–1240. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10803-007-0504-z>.
- Auyeung, B., Taylor, K., Hackett, G., & Baron-Cohen, S. (2010). Foetal testosterone and autistic traits in 18 to 24-month-old children. *Molecular Autism*, 1(1), 11. <https://doi.org/10.1186/2040-2392-1-11>.
- Baron-Cohen, S., Hoekstra, R. A., Knickmeyer, R., & Wheelwright, S. (2006). The autism-spectrum quotient (AQ)—adolescent version. *Journal of Autism and Developmental Disorders*, 36(3), 343–350.
- Baron-Cohen, S., Wheelwright, S., Skinner, R., Martin, J., & Clubley, E. (2001). The autism-spectrum quotient (AQ): Evidence from Asperger syndrome/high-functioning autism, males and females, scientists and mathematicians. *Journal of Autism and Developmental Disorders*, 31(1), 5–17.
- Bayley, N. (2006). *Bayley scales of infant and toddler development* (3rd edn). San Antonio, TX: Harcourt Assessment. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0734282906297199>
- Beck, A. T., Steer, R. A., & Brown, G. K. (1996). *Manual for the beck depression inventory—II*. San Antonio, TX: Psychological Corporation.
- Bennett, T., Boyle, M., Georgiades, K., Georgiades, S., Thompson, A., Duku, E., et al. (2012). Influence of reporting effects on the association between maternal depression and child autism spectrum disorder behaviors. *Journal of Child Psychology and Psychiatry, and Allied Disciplines*, 53(1), 89–96. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1469-7610.2011.02451.x>.
- Berument, S. K., Rutter, M., Lord, C., Pickles, A., & Bailey, A. (1999). Autism screening questionnaire: Diagnostic validity. *The British Journal of Psychiatry*, 175(5), 444–451. Retrieved from <http://bjp.rcpsych.org/content/175/5/444.abstract>.
- Bilder, D., Pinborough-Zimmerman, J., Miller, J., & McMahon, W. (2009). Prenatal, perinatal, and neonatal factors associated with autism spectrum disorders. *Pediatrics*, 123(5), 1293–1300. <https://doi.org/10.1542/peds.2008-0927>.
- Bishop, D. V. M., Maybery, M., Wong, D., Maley, A., & Hallmayer, J. (2006). Characteristics of the broader phenotype in autism: A study of siblings using the children's communication checklist-2. *American Journal of Medical Genetics. Part B, Neuropsychiatric Genetics: The Official Publication of the International Society of Psychiatric Genetics*, 141B(2), 117–122. <https://doi.org/10.1002/ajmg.b.30267>.
- Bölte, S., Westerwald, E., Holtmann, M., Freitag, C., & Poustka, F. (2011). Autistic traits and autism spectrum disorders: The clinical validity of two measures presuming a continuum of social communication skills. *Journal of Autism and Developmental Disorders*, 41(1), 66–72. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10803-010-1024-9>.
- Charman, T., Howlin, P., Berry, B., & Prince, E. (2004). Measuring developmental progress of children with autism spectrum disorder on school entry using parent report. *Autism*, 8(1), 89–100. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1362361304040641>.
- Constantino, J. N. (2012). *Social responsiveness scale—second edition (SRS-2)*. Torrance, CA: WPS.
- Constantino, J. N., & Todd, R. D. (2003). Autistic traits in the general population: A twin study. *Archives in General Psychiatry*, 60(5), 524–530. <https://doi.org/10.1001/archpsyc.60.5.524>.
- Constantino, J. N., & Todd, R. D. (2005). Intergenerational transmission of subthreshold autistic traits in the general population. *Biological Psychiatry*, 57(6), 655–660. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biopsych.2004.12.014>.

- Daniels, J. L., Forssen, U., Hultman, C. M., Cnattingius, S., Savitz, D. A., Feychting, M., & Sparen, P. (2008). Parental psychiatric disorders associated with autism spectrum disorders in the offspring. *Pediatrics*, *121*(5), e1357–e1362. <https://doi.org/10.1542/peds.2007-2296>.
- Department of Statistics Singapore. (2016). *Population trends*. Retrieved on January 29, 2018, from <http://www.singstat.gov.sg>.
- Durkin, M. S., Maenner, M. J., Newschaffer, C. J., Lee, L.-C., Cunniff, C. M., Daniels, J. L., et al. (2008). Advanced parental age and the risk of autism spectrum disorder. *American Journal of Epidemiology*, *168*(11), 1268–1276. <https://doi.org/10.1093/aje/kwn250>.
- Dworzynski, K., Ronald, A., Hayiou-Thomas, M., Rijdsdijk, F., Happé, F., Bolton, P. F., et al. (2007). Aetiological relationship between language performance and autistic-like traits in childhood: A twin study. *International Journal of Language & Communication Disorders*, *Royal College of Speech & Language Therapists*, *42*(3), 273–292. <https://doi.org/10.1080/13682820600939002>.
- Estes, A., Munson, J., Dawson, G., Koehler, E., Zhou, X.-H., et al. (2009). Parenting distress and psychological functioning among mothers of preschool children with autism and developmental delay. *Autism*, *13*(4), 375–387. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1362361309105658>.
- Freeth, M., Sheppard, E., Ramachandran, R., & Milne, E. (2013). A cross-cultural comparison of autistic traits in the UK, India and Malaysia. *Journal of Autism and Developmental Disorders*, *43*(11), 2569–2583. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10803-013-1808-9>.
- Gardener, H., Spiegelman, D., & Buka, S. L. (2009). Prenatal risk factors for autism: Comprehensive meta-analysis. *British Journal of Psychiatry*, *195*(1), 7–14. <https://doi.org/10.1192/bjp.bp.108.051672>.
- Gartstein, M. A., & Fagot, B. I. (2003). Parental depression, parenting and family adjustment, and child effortful control: Explaining externalizing behaviors for preschool children. *Journal of Applied Developmental Psychology*, *24*(2), 143–177. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0193-3973\(03\)00043-1](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0193-3973(03)00043-1).
- Grantham-McGregor, S., Cheung, Y. B., Cueto, S., Glenwwe, P., Ritcher, L., et al. (2007). Developmental potential in the first 5 years for children in developing countries. *The Lancet*, *369*(9555), 60–70. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736\(07\)60032-4](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(07)60032-4).
- Grether, J. K., Anderson, M. C., Croen, L. A., Smith, D., & Windham, G. C. (2009). Risk of autism and increasing maternal and paternal age in a large north American population. *American Journal of Epidemiology*, *170*(9), 1118–1126. <https://doi.org/10.1093/aje/kwp247>.
- Hastings, R. P., Kovshoff, H., Ward, N. J., Degli Espinosa, F., Brown, T., & Remington, B. (2005). Systems analysis of stress and positive perceptions in mothers and fathers of pre-school children with autism. *Journal of Autism and Developmental Disorders*, *35*(5), 635–644. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10803-005-0007-8>.
- Hoekstra, R. A., Bartels, M., Verweij, C. J. H., & Boomsma, D. I. (2007). Heritability of autistic traits in the general population. *Archives of Pediatrics & Adolescent Medicine*, *161*(4), 372–377. <https://doi.org/10.1001/archpedi.161.4.372>.
- Hoekstra, R. A., Happé, F., Baron-Cohen, S., & Ronald, A. (2009). Association between extreme autistic traits and intellectual disability: Insights from a general population twin study. *British Journal of Psychiatry*, *195*(6), 531–536. <https://doi.org/10.1192/bjp.bp.108.060889>.
- Hoekstra, R. A., Happé, F., Baron-Cohen, S., & Ronald, A. (2010). Limited genetic covariance between autistic traits and intelligence: Findings from a longitudinal twin study. *American Journal of Medical Genetics, Part B: Neuropsychiatric Genetics*, *153*(5), 994–1007. <https://doi.org/10.1002/ajmg.b.31066>.
- Hoff, E. (2003). The specificity of environmental influence: Socio-economic status affects early vocabulary development via maternal speech. *Child Development*, *74*, 1368–1378. <https://doi.org/10.1111/1467-8624.00612>.
- Hurst, R. M., Mitchell, J. T., Kimbrel, N. A., Kwapil, T. K., & Nelson-Gray, R. O. (2007). Examination of the reliability and factor structure of the Autism Spectrum Quotient (AQ) in a non-clinical sample. *Personality and Individual Differences*, *43*(7), 1938–1949. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.paid.2007.06.012>.
- Hus, V., Bishop, S., Gotham, K., Huerta, M., & Lord, C. (2013). Factors influencing scores on the social responsiveness scale. *Journal of Child Psychology and Psychiatry, and Allied Disciplines*, *54*(2), 216–224. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1469-7610.2012.02589.x>.
- King, M. D., Fountain, C., Dakhllallah, D., & Bearman, P. S. (2009). Estimated autism risk and older reproductive age. *American Journal of Public Health*, *99*(9), 1673–1679. <https://doi.org/10.2105/AJPH.2008.149021>.
- Lee, H., Marvin, A. R., Watsson, T., Piggot, J., Law, J. K., Constantino, J. N., et al. (2010). Accuracy of phenotyping of autistic children based on Internet implemented parent report. *American Journal of Medical Genetics B: Neuropsychiatric Genetics*, *153B*(6), 1119–1126. <https://doi.org/10.1002/ajmg.b.31103>.
- Leonard, H., Glasson, E., Nassar, N., Whitehouse, A., Bebbington, A., Bourke, J., et al. (2011). Autism and intellectual disability are differentially related to sociodemographic background at birth. *PLoS ONE*, *6*(3), e17875. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0017875>.
- Magiati, I., Goh, D. A., Lim, S. J., Gan, D. Z. Q., Leong, J. C., Allison, C., et al. (2015). The psychometric properties of the Quantitative Checklist for Autism in Toddlers (Q-CHAT) as a measure of autistic traits in a community sample of Singaporean infants and toddlers. *Molecular Autism*, *6*(40), 1–14. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s13229-015-0032-1>.
- Mandy, W. P. L., & Skuse, D. H. (2008). Research review: What is the association between the social-communication element of autism and repetitive interests, behaviours and activities? *Journal of Child Psychology and Psychiatry*, *49*(8), 795–808. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1469-7610.2008.01911.x>.
- Matson, J. L., Worley, J. A., Fodstad, J. C., Chung, K.-M., Suh, D., Jhin, H. K., et al. (2011). A multinational study examining the cross cultural differences in reported symptoms of autism spectrum disorders: Israel, South Korea, the United Kingdom, and the United States of America. *Research in Autism Spectrum Disorders*, *5*(4), 1598–1604. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rasd.2011.03.007>.
- Maxwell, C. R., Parish-Morris, J., Hsin, O., Bush, J. C., & Schultz, R. T. (2013). The broad autism phenotype predicts child functioning in autism spectrum disorders. *Journal of Neurodevelopmental Disorders*, *5*(1), 25. <https://doi.org/10.1186/1866-1955-5-25>.
- Norbury, C. F., & Sparks, A. (2013). Difference or disorder? Cultural issues in understanding neurodevelopmental disorders. *Developmental Psychology*, *49*(1), 45–58. <https://doi.org/10.1037/a0027446>.
- Norris, M., & Lecavalier, L. (2010). Screening Accuracy of level 2 autism spectrum disorder rating scales: A review of selected instruments. *Autism*, *14*(4), 263–284. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1362361309348071>.
- Ordway, M. R. (2011). Depressed mothers as informants on child behavior: Methodological issues. *Research in Nursing & Health*, *34*(6), 520–532. <https://doi.org/10.1002/nur.20463>.
- Posserud, M. B., Lundervold, A. J., & Gillberg, C. (2006). Autistic features in a total population of 7–9-year-old children assessed by the ASSQ (Autism Spectrum Screening Questionnaire). *Journal of Child Psychology and Psychiatry*, *47*, 167–175.
- Robinson, E. B., Koenen, K. C., McCormick, M. C., Munir, K., Hallett, V., Happe, F., et al. (2011a). Evidence that autistic traits show the same etiology in the general population and at the quantitative extremes (5%, 2.5%, and 1%). *Archives of General Psychiatry*,

- 68(11), 1113–1121. <https://doi.org/10.1001/archgenpsychiatry.2011.119>.
- Robinson, E. B., Munir, K., McCormick, M. C., Koenen, K. C., & Santangelo, S. L. (2011b). Brief report: No association between parental age and extreme social-communicative autistic traits in the general population. *Journal of Autism and Developmental Disorders*, *41*(12), 1733–1737. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10803-011-1202-4>.
- Ronald, A., & Hoekstra, R. (2014). Progress in understanding the causes of autism spectrum disorders and autistic traits: Twin studies from 1977 to the present day. In E. H. Rhee & A. Ronald (Eds.), *Behaviour genetics of psychopathology* (pp. 33–65). New York: Springer.
- Ronald, A., Pennell, C. E., & Whitehouse, A. J. O. (2011). Prenatal maternal stress associated with ADHD and autistic traits in early childhood. *Frontiers in Psychology*. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2010.00223>.
- Russell, G., Steer, C., & Golding, J. (2010). Social and demographic factors that influence the diagnosis of autistic spectrum disorders. *Social Psychiatry and Psychiatric Epidemiology*, *46*(12), 1283–1293. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00127-010-0294-z>.
- Rutter, M., Bailey, A., & Lord, C. M. (2003). *Social communication questionnaire*. Los Angeles, CA: Western Psychological Services.
- Ruzich, E., Allison, C., Smith, P., Watson, P., Auyeung, B., Ring, H., & Baron-Cohen, S. (2015). Measuring autistic traits in the general population: A systematic review of the Autism-Spectrum Quotient (AQ) in a nonclinical population sample of 6,900 typical adult males and females. *Molecular Autism*, *6*(1), 1–12. <https://doi.org/10.1186/2040-2392-6-2>.
- Ryland, H. K., Hysing, M., Posserud, M. B., Gillberg, C., & Lunder-vold, A. J. (2014). Autistic features in school age children: IQ and gender effects in a population-based cohort. *Research in Autism Spectrum Disorders*, *8*, 266–274.
- Sasanfar, R., Haddad, S., Tolouei, A., Ghadami, M., Yu, D., & Santangelo, S. L. (2010). Paternal age increases the risk for autism in an Iranian population sample. *Molecular Autism*, *1*(1), 2. <https://doi.org/10.1186/2040-2392-1-2>.
- Scott, F. J., Baron-Cohen, S., Bolton, P., & Brayne, C. (2002). The CAST (Childhood Asperger Syndrome Test): Preliminary development of a UK screen for mainstream primary-school-age children. *Autism*, *6*(1), 9–31. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1362361302006001003>.
- Shuster, J., Perry, A., Bebko, J., & Toplak, M. (2014). Review of factor analytic studies examining symptoms of Autism Spectrum Disorders. *Journal of Autism and Developmental Disorders*, *44*(1), 90–110. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10803-013-1854-3>.
- Sikora, D. M., Hall, T. A., Hartley, S. L., Gerrard-Morris, A. E., & Cagle, S. (2008). Does parent report of behavior differ across ADOS-G classifications: Analysis of scores from the CBCL and GARS. *Journal of Autism and Developmental Disorders*, *38*(3), 440–448. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10803-007-0407-z>.
- Skuse, D. H., Mandy, W. P. L., & Scourfield, J. (2005). Measuring autistic traits: Heritability, reliability and validity of the Social and Communication Disorders Checklist. *The British Journal of Psychiatry: The Journal of Mental Science*, *187*, 568–572. <https://doi.org/10.1192/bjp.187.6.568>.
- Soh, S.-E., Tint, M. T., Gluckman, P. D., Godfrey, K. M., Rifkin-Graboi, A., Chan, Y. H., et al. (2013). Cohort profile: Growing up in Singapore towards healthy outcomes (GUSTO) birth cohort study. *International Journal of Epidemiology*. <https://doi.org/10.1093/ije/dyt125>.
- Sucksmith, E., Roth, I., & Hoekstra, R. A. (2011). Autistic traits below the clinical threshold: Re-examining the broader autism phenotype in the 21st century. *Neuropsychology Review*, *21*(4), 360–389. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11065-011-9183-9>.
- Taylor, L. J., Maybery, M. T., Wray, J., Ravine, D., Hunt, A., & Whitehouse, A. J. O. (2013). Brief report: Do the nature of communication impairments in autism spectrum disorders relate to the broader autism phenotype in parents? *Journal of Autism and Developmental Disorders*, *43*(12), 2984–2989. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10803-013-1838-3>.
- Virkud, Y. V., Todd, R. D., Abbacchi, A. M., Zhang, Y., & Constantino, J. N. (2009). Familial aggregation of quantitative autistic traits in multiplex versus simplex autism. *American Journal Of Medical Genetics Part B Neuropsychiatric Genetics*. <https://doi.org/10.1002/ajmg.b.30810.Familial>.
- Wakabayashi, A., Baron-Cohen, S., Wheelwright, S., & Tojo, Y. (2006). The autism-spectrum quotient (AQ) in Japan: A cross-cultural comparison. *Journal of Autism and Developmental Disorders*, *36*(2), 263–270. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10803-005-0061-2>.
- Wallentin, M. (2009). Putative sex differences in verbal abilities and language cortex: A critical review. *Brain and Language*, *108*(3), 175–183. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bandl.2008.07.001>.
- Warren, Z., Vehorn, A., Dohrmann, E., Nicholson, A., Sutcliffe, J. S., & Veenstra-Vanderweele, J. (2012). Accuracy of phenotyping children with autism based on parent report: What specifically do we gain phenotyping “rapidly”? *Autism Research*, *5*(1), 31–38. <https://doi.org/10.1002/aur.230>.
- Wheelwright, S., Auyeung, B., Allison, C., & Baron-Cohen, S. (2010). Defining the broader, medium, narrow autism phenotype among parents using the Autism Spectrum Quotient (AQ). *Molecular Autism*, *1*(1), 10. <https://doi.org/10.1186/2040-2392-1-10>.
- Wheelwright, S., Baron-Cohen, S., Goldenfeld, N., Delaney, J., Fine, D., Smith, R., et al. (2006). Predicting autism spectrum quotient (AQ) from the systemizing quotient-revised (SQ-R) and empathy quotient (EQ). *Brain Research*, *1079*(1), 47–56. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.brainres.2006.01.012>.
- Williams, J., & Holmes, C. A. (2004). Improving the early detection of children with subtle developmental problems. *Journal of Child Health Care*, *8*(1), 34–46. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1367493504041852>.
- Wong, H. S., Huertas-Ceballos, A., Cowan, F. M., & Modi, N. (2014). Evaluation of early childhood social-communication difficulties in children born preterm using the quantitative checklist for autism in toddlers. *The Journal of Pediatrics*, *164*(1), 26–33. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jpeds.2013.07.013>.

Affiliations

D. A. Goh¹ · D. Gan¹ · J. Kung¹ · S. Baron-Cohen⁸ · C. Allison⁸ · H. Chen^{3,9} · S. M. Saw^{6,9,10} · Y. S. Chong^{2,7} · V. S. Rajadurai^{3,9} · K. H. Tan^{3,9} · P. C. L. Shek^{2,11} · F. Yap^{3,9,12} · B. F. P. Broekman^{2,4,5} · I. Magiati¹ 

D. A. Goh
deborah.a.goh@u.nus.edu

D. Gan
danielgan86@gmail.com

J. Kung
kungjoey@hotmail.com

S. Baron-Cohen
sb205@cam.ac.uk

C. Allison
cla29@cam.ac.uk

H. Chen
helen.chen.y@singhealth.com.sg

S. M. Saw
ephssm@nus.edu.sg

Y. S. Chong
obgcys@nus.edu.sg

V. S. Rajadurai
victor.samuel@singhealth.com.sg

K. H. Tan
tan.kok.hian@singhealth.com.sg

P. C. L. Shek
lynette_shek@nuhs.edu.sg

F. Yap
fabian.yap.k.p@singhealth.com.sg

B. F. P. Broekman
b.broekman@erasmusmc.nl

¹ Department of Psychology, National University of Singapore (NUS), #02-24, Block AS4, 9 Arts Link, Singapore 117570, Singapore

² Singapore Institute of Clinical Sciences (SICS), A*STAR, Singapore, Singapore

³ KK Women's and Children's Hospital, Singapore, Singapore

⁴ Department of Psychological Medicine, National University of Singapore (NUS), Singapore, Singapore

⁵ Department of Psychological Medicine, Yong Loo Lin School of Medicine, National University of Singapore, National University Health System, Singapore, Singapore

⁶ Saw Swee Hock School of Public Health, National University of Singapore (NUS), Singapore, Singapore

⁷ Department of Obstetrics & Gynaecology, Yong Loo Lin School of Medicine, National University of Singapore, National University Hospital System, Singapore, Singapore

⁸ Autism Research Centre, University of Cambridge, Cambridge, UK

⁹ Duke-NUS Medical School, Singapore, Singapore

¹⁰ Singapore Eye Research Institute, SingHealth Duke-NUS Academic Medical Centre, Singapore, Singapore

¹¹ Division of Pediatric Allergy, Immunology and Rheumatology, National University of Singapore, National University Hospital System, Singapore, Singapore

¹² Lee Kong Chian School of Medicine, Nanyang Technological University, Singapore, Singapore